## **CLERK REPORT**

De 23-014 Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.Docket No. & Name:Petition for Approval of Step Adjustment Filing							
Date: 05/09/23	Opened	1:32	Closed:	3:26	PHC:	Hearing Yes	
Presiding Officer:	Commis Chattopa	sioner Pradig Idhyay	)	Commiss	ioners: <u>C</u>	Carleton B. Simpson	
Court Reporter: Steven Patnaude				Clerk: Doreen Borden			
Briefs Due:							
Transcript Due Date:				Hearings Continued:			
Hearing Examiner I	Report Du	e:					
Notice Made: Ye	es			Under	Advisement:	Yes	
Unitil Energy Syste New Hampshire De		•	· · ·	q. and Co-	Counsel Molly	y M. Lynch, Esq.	
Intervention Grante	d:						
Other Rulings:							
Will take matter un	der advise	ment and iss	ue an orde	er as soon a	as possible.		
The following Exhi	bits were o	entered into e	evidence:				
Exhibit 1 Petition for Approv Exhibit 2 Response to DOE I Exhibit 3 Response to DOE I Exhibit 4 Response to DOE I	Data Reque	est 1-002 wit	C	nent			

Exhibit 5 Not Used Exhibit 6 Excel Version of Revised Schedules CGKS-3, CGKS-4, CGKS-5, and CGKS-6 Exhibit 7 Response to DOE Data Request TS-1 Exhibit 8 RECORD REQUEST

Perform the following steps to Schedule CGKS-3 and provide live Excel response: (a) Remove all growth capital additions in column (b);(b) Maintain the current non-growth capital addition (\$15,227,771) in column (c);(c) All else equal, apply the proportion of non-growth (100 percent) throughout the model, where applicable, and report the resulting revenue requirement. Exhibit 9

## RECORD REQUEST

Perform the following steps to Schedule CGKS-3 and provide live Excel response in separate tabs labelled by the record request sub-numbers 1-2 (a) and 1-2 (b). (a)Add \$10,000,000 in growth additions to column (b) line 3. The new growth addition line should read \$14,015,855. Non-growth should remain \$15,227,771 in column (c). Total plant in column (a) should read \$29,243,626. All else equal, apply the proportion of growth to non-growth as captured using the split (i.e., \$15,227,771 for non-growth and \$14,015,855 for growth), as applicable, and report the resulting revenue requirement. (b)Next, re-run the revenue requirement in (1-2 a), by assuming reasonably appropriate cost of removal proportions based on the increase in growth plant additions.

## WITNESSES:

Panel 1	Christopher Goulding, Kevin Sprague, Daniel T. Nawazelski	
Panel 2	Jay E. Dudley	